DECISION NOTICE: NO FURTHER ACTION

Reference WC - ENQ00180

Subject Member

Councillor Magnus Macdonald, Wiltshire Council

Complainant

Mr Trevor Bedeman

Representative of the Monitoring Officer

Mr Paul Taylor

Review Sub-Committee

Councillor Horace Prickett - Chairman Councillor Bob Jones MBE Councillor Peter Evans Mr Philip Gill MBE JP

Independent Person

Mrs Caroline Baynes

Complaint

The complainant alleges that Councillor Macdonald behaved in an impolite and insulting manner prior to a meeting of the Bradford on Avon Area Board on 14 September 2016, thereby breaching the principles of selflessness, integrity, objectivity and leadership. It is also alleged that in doing so he failed to promote and support high standards of conduct when serving in his public office and breached paragraphs 1 and 4 of the Code of Conduct.

Decision

In accordance with the approved arrangements for resolving standards complaints adopted by Council on 26 June 2012, which came into effect on 1 July 2012 and after hearing from the Independent Person, the Review Sub-Committee decided that no further action will be taken in respect of this complaint.

Reasons for the Decision

Preamble

The Chairman led the Sub-Committee through the local assessment criteria which detailed the initial tests that should be satisfied before assessment of a complaint was commenced.

Upon going through the initial tests, it was agreed that the complaint related to the conduct of a member and that the member was in office at the time of the alleged incidents and remains a member of Wiltshire Council. A copy of the appropriate Code of Conduct was also supplied for the assessment. The Sub-Committee agreed with the

reasoning of the Deputy Monitoring Officer in his initial assessment that in discussing the forthcoming meeting while outside the venue on the way into that meeting, the subject member could be considered as acting in their capacity as a member and therefore subject to the Code.

The Sub-Committee therefore had to decide whether the alleged behaviour would, if proven, amount to a breach of that Code of Conduct. Further, if it was felt it would be a breach, was it appropriate under the assessment criteria to refer the matter for investigation.

In reaching its decision, the Sub-Committee took into account the complaint, the response of the subject member, the initial assessment of the Deputy Monitoring Officer to take no further action and the complainant's request for a review. The Sub-Committee also considered the verbal representation made at the Review by the complainant.

Decision Reasoning

The allegation was that the subject member had behaved inappropriately prior to a meeting of Bradford on Avon Area Board through intimidating and insulting actions, to the extent of breaching the Code of Conduct under the provisions listed above.

Paragraph 1 of the Code states 'You must act solely in the public interest and should never improperly confer an advantage or disadvantage on any person or act to gain financial or other material benefits for yourself, your family, a friend or close associate'.

Paragraph 4 of the Code states 'You are accountable for your decisions to the public and you must co-operate fully with whatever scrutiny is appropriate to your office'.

The Sub-Committee noted both provisions related to decision making by elected representatives, and as no decisions were taken by the subject member during the alleged incident, the behaviours, if proven, could not amount to a breach of the Code under those provisions.

The Sub-Committee therefore considered whether the alleged behaviour and actions of the subject member, if proven, breached the requirement to promote and support high standards of conduct and the need to have regard to the principles as set out in the Code.

It was clear that, if proven, the alleged behaviour would be both impolite and unwise for an elected member. No specific provisions in the Code related to disrespectful or bullying behaviour, however such behaviour was mentioned in the Guidance on the Code of Conduct as an example of behaviour that, in appropriate circumstances, could be considered to be not supporting high standards of conduct or having sufficient regard to the principles listed. The question, therefore, was whether allegedly rude and insulting behaviour as set out in the incident that was the subject of the complaint, was of such a level as to breach those provisions.

The need to promote and support high standards of conduct in the Code was not intended to stand in the way of lively debate. It was clear from the paper submitted that various parties felt strongly about issues in the town and how they might be discussed at the area board, where the subject member is Chairman. It was also noted the issue

had been aggravated by procedural concerns which had been the subject of a separate, corporate complaint. The Sub-Committee considered, on the basis of the evidence as submitted, that while the alleged actions may have been impolite or insulting, they had not risen to the level of an excessive attack on an individual which would justify an impediment to debate, even if that debate may have become unpleasant in this instance.

Similarly, the Sub-Committee considered that the complaint related to a single incident, noting that the issue which had provoked the alleged confrontation was later discussed at the meeting in question without incident, and that therefore there was inadequate grounds to consider that high standards of conduct had been sufficiently undermined by the isolated incident that was the subject of the complaint.

The Sub-Committee therefore agreed with the reasoning of the Deputy Monitoring Officer that even though the alleged behaviour, if proven, would not reflect well on the subject member, it did not rise to the level of a breach under the Code of Conduct, and therefore it was not in the public interest to refer the matter for investigation.

Additional Help

If you need additional support in relation to this or future contact with us, please let us know as soon as possible. If you have difficulty reading this notice we can make reasonable adjustments to assist you, in line with the requirements of the Equality Act 2010.

We can also help if English is not your first language.